Michael Honeycutt, Ph.D.

Director, Toxicology, Risk Assessment, and Research Division Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Phone: (512)239-1793

Mobile: (512)623-0916

E-Mail: michael.honeycutt@tceq.texas.gov

Executive Summary

- <!--[if !supportLists]-->
 <!--[endif]-->Ethylene oxide (EtO) is a chemical with many industrial applications and is particularly useful as a sterilant for medical devices.

- <!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->In addition, EtO is produced endogenously, and an ambient air concentration of ≈1.3 ppb would be required to increase the internal dose of EtO by 1 standard deviation. Therefore, ambient EtO concentrations significantly less than 1 ppb (e.g., USEPA's acceptable air concentrations of 0.0001-0.01 ppb) would not be expected to produce biologically meaningful internal doses considering the range of normal endogenously-produced background EtO levels.
- <!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->The human data available for deriving an EtO toxicity factor came from two very high exposure occupational cohorts (Union Carbide Corporation (UCC) and National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)) that provide no information about the shape of the dose-response curve at low (i.e., environmentally-relevant) EtO concentrations. The TCEQ agrees with USEPA's determination that in the low-dose range a sublinear dose-response is "highly plausible," based on the MOA and information about endogenous production of EtO.

- <!--[if!supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Endogenous Levels of EtO USEPA's URF estimates that ambient concentrations of EtO > 0.01 ppb would produce an unacceptable increased cancer risk of greater than 1 in 10,000. This estimated ambient EtO concentration corresponds to an internal dose that is over 30 times lower than the 1st percentile of normal endogenous background levels (non-smokers), which is highly unlikely to be biologically meaningful and is inconsistent with the assessment of excess risk.
- <!--[if!supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Population-Level Lymphoid Cancer Risk Using measured concentrations of a biomarker of internal EtO exposure (an EtO-specific protein adduct in blood), it can be estimated that the mean amounts of endogenous EtO levels would be equivalent to ambient concentrations of EtO of 1.9 ppb in non-smokers and 18.8 ppb in smokers. Accordingly, at measured endogenous levels of EtO, the USEPA's URF would predict a population-wide lymphoid cancer incidence rate of 3.8% (in the absence of any exogenous EtO exposure or other potential causes of lymphoid cancer). By contrast, the USEPA-cited lymphoid cancer background incidence rate (which would have many contributing factors, not just a single chemical) is 3%, demonstrating that USEPA's URF overestimates observable lymphoid cancer risk based on endogenous levels of EtO alone.
- <!--[if!supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Lymphoid Cancer Risk from Cohort Studies The UCC cohort shows no statistically significant increased risk of lymphoid cancer with EtO exposure. The NIOSH cohort shows statistically significant increased risk of lymphoid cancer mortality at relatively high cumulative exposures. These data are not consistent with USEPA's selected model assessment (i.e., upper bound on the linear two-piece spline model) because that model assessment would predict statistically increased risks at even the lowest EtO cumulative exposures (see below).
- <!--[if!supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Model Fit with Observed Data USEPA conducted their EtO cancer dose-response modeling using the NIOSH cohort data. To verify that USEPA's final selected model assessment (i.e., upper bound on the linear two-piece spline model) properly fit the original data, it was used to predict the expected number of lymphoid cancer deaths based on the same NIOSH individual exposure data as USEPA used for modeling. Whereas 53 lymphoid cancer deaths were observed in this cohort of 17,530 workers, USEPA's selected dose-response model assessment predicted 141 (95% confidence interval (CI) of 108, 188) lymphoid cancer deaths in this same cohort. Similarly, USEPA's final selected model assessment statistically significantly over-predicts lymphoid cancer deaths in every cumulative exposure quintile and indicates that statistically increased lymphoid cancer mortality should have occurred in every exposure quintile (including the lowest), when in fact this did not occur. This demonstrates unequivocally that USEPA's selected model assessment cannot be validated by the data that was used to derive it, and this model is not appropriate to use for estimates of population risk.

- <!--[if!supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->The TCEQ ultimately chose lymphoid cancer mortality as the critical cancer endpoint, using a 15-year EtO exposure lag with results for NIOSH males being more conservative, to calculate a URF of 2.5E-6 per ppb (1.4E-6 per ug/m³) and a chronicESL_{nonthreshold}

- (c) of 4 ppb (7 ug/m³) at an excess cancer risk level of 1 in 100,000.
- <!--[if !supportLists]-->• <!--[endif]-->As with USEPA's URF, the TCEQ's URF was evaluated in the context of the available observed data to determine the validity of the modeling and URF:
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]--><u>Endogenous Levels of EtO</u> Compared to endogenous EtO levels, the TCEQ's ESL of 4 ppb would produce an internal exposure equivalent to between the 90th-95th percentile of the normal endogenous range and could biologically plausibly be associated with excess risk above and distinguishable from normal endogenous EtO contributions to background risk.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Population-Level Lymphoid Cancer Risk At measured endogenous levels of EtO, the TCEQ's URF would predict a population-wide lymphoid cancer rate that is appreciably lower than the background population cancer rate.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]--><u>Lymphoid Cancer Risk from Cohort Studies</u> The standard Cox proportional hazards model of lymphoid cancer mortality did not show a relationship with EtO exposure that was statistically significantly different from zero. Therefore, by assuming a significant positive slope in the EtO-cancer association, the TCEQ is making a conservative decision to assume that EtO is causing lymphoid cancer in the exposed workers in the NIOSH cohort. Adding to this conservatism is the TCEQ's decision to use an upper confidence limit on the slope.
 - <!--[if !supportLists]-->o <!--[endif]-->Model Fit with Observed Data To verify that the TCEQ's model properly fit the original data, the expected number of lymphoid cancer deaths based on the individual exposure estimates for the NIOSH cohort (also used by USEPA) were calculated. Whereas 53 lymphoid cancer deaths were observed in this cohort of 17,530 workers, the TCEQ's selected dose-response assessment (i.e., upper bound of the Cox proportional hazard model) predicted 59 (95% CI of 45, 78) lymphoid cancer deaths. Similarly, TCEQ's selected assessment neither significantly over- or under-estimated lymphoid cancer deaths for any exposure quintile. This demonstrates that the TCEQ's model selection provides a superior fit to the observed number of lymphoid cancer deaths in the NIOSH cohort.